



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/887,325	06/25/2001	Igal Golan	GOLAN=4	GOLAN=4 5932	
1444	7590 03/22/2004	•	EXAM	EXAMINER	
BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.			HONG, H	HONG, HARRY S	
624 NINTH STREET, NW SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2642	O	
		·	DATE MAILED: 03/22/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/887,325	GOLAN, IGAL			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Harry S. Hong	2642			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl D period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro c, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 J	une 2001				
·	nis action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)						
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-42 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 June 2001</u> is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The specific and the spe)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected t drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S tion is required if the drawing(s) is o	tee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. Is have been received in Applica rity documents have been recei u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage			
Attachmen	at(s)					
1) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa				
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/887,325

Art Unit: 2642

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 11-26, and 32-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Prohs et al. (Prohs; US 5,267,305; cited and applied for the first time).

The entire patent to Prohs is directed to the claimed system and method for undetected provision of an auxiliary service during a telephone conversation between at least two participants over a communications network. Prohs plainly teaches the claimed handset and the service processor. Refer specifically to the Abstract; column 2, line 30 – line 65; and column 64, line 1 – column 69, last line.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

Application/Control Number: 09/887,325

Art Unit: 2642

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

5. Claims 6-9 and 27-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Prohs et al., as applied above, in view of Wrede et al. (Wrede; US 5,937,040; cited and applied for the first time).

Prohs differs from claims 6-9 and 27-30 with respect to the separate channeling of voice and signaling. However, ISDN is well established in the current state of the telephony art to provide separate channels for respective voice and signaling where the signaling is transparent to the caller as taught by Wrede at column 4, line 5. Therefore, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice, even to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to provide services by modifying the transparent signaling concept in the PSTN of Prohs into an ISDN environment as motivated by Wrede.

6. Claims 10 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Prohs in view of Wrede as applied to claims 6-9 and 27-30 above, and further in view of Regnier (US 6,345,047; cited and applied for the first time).

Prohs in view of Wrede does not teach the second channel comprising the Internet. However, Regnier plainly teaches such a feature (see column 17, lines 19 – 46). Therefore, it would have been obvious even to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the Internet on the second channel of Prohs in view of

Application/Control Number: 09/887,325

Art Unit: 2642

Page 4

Wrede as taught by Prohs. Transparent Internet access is a strong motivation in the current state of the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harry S. Hong whose telephone number is (703) 306-3040. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad F. Matar can be reached on (703) 305-4731. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Harry S. Hong

Harry S. Hong Primary Examiner Art Unit 2642

March 10, 2004